



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,519	02/13/2002	Flora P. Goldthwaite	MSFT-0738/183225.1	8922
41505 7590 02/06/2009 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891				
			EXAMINER	
			WOO, ISAAC M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2166		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/06/2009 PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/075,519

Applicant(s)

GOLDTHWAITE ET AL.

Examiner

ISAAC M. WOO

Art Unit

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 January 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/85/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 14, 2009 has been entered.
2. Claims 1-7 and 9-32 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "... may be ...". It is not clearly understood what is meant. "may be", renders the claim indefinite by failing to point out what is being performed. Applicants are advised to amend the claim so solve the 112 rejection set forth in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-7 and 9-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barnett et al (U.S. Patent No. 7,154,538).

With respect to claims 1, 12 and 22, Barnett teaches a first data store comprising multimedia files having historical information wherein the multimedia files are generated by at least one multimedia application program (i.e., event directory

provides created multimedia files to users, fig. 6, fig. 7A, fig. 10, col. 9, lines 48-67 to col. 10); a second data store comprising schedule data wherein the schedule data is generated by an application program that is a different application program than the at least one multimedia application program (fig. 7B, fig. 8-13, col. 10, lines 11-67 to col. 11, lines 1-63); and, at least one memory comprising: a set of computer readable instructions correlating that, when executed by a processor, correlate selected multimedia files from the first data store with selected schedule data from the second data store by associating the historical information of the multimedia files with the schedule data (i.e., scheduler is correlated with event time, col. 3, lines 25-60, col. 11, lines 22-67 to col. 67 to col. 13, lines 1-55); and a set of computer readable instructions that, when executed by a processor, provide, by way of a network connection, information indicative of the correlated multimedia file relative to the schedule data such that the multimedia files may be arranged temporally (scheduled events are displayed to user, fig. 10, fig. 11, fig. 12, col. 13, lines 23-67 to col. 14, lines 1-67 to col. 15, lines 1-67).

With respect to claims 2 and 3, Barnett teaches at least one of an audio file and a video file (col. 30, lines 1-1-55).

With respect to claim 4, Barnett teaches the correlation is based on time information associated with the multimedia files (fig. 10, col. 15, lines 3-55).

With respect to claim 5, Barnett teaches the correlation is based upon a second correlation factor (fig. 10, col. 15, lines 3-55).

With respect to claim 6, Barnett teaches the second correlation factor is location (fig. 10, col. 15, lines 3-55).

With respect to claim 7, Barnett teaches the location is a function of latitude and longitude (col. 3, lines 25-60, col. 11, lines 22-67 to col. 67 to col. 13, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 9, Barnett teaches an Internet connection (col. 3, lines 25-60, col. 11, lines 22-67 to col. 67 to col. 13, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 10, Barnett teaches the correlation is based upon a probability that the selected multimedia files are related to an event indicated by the selected schedule data (col. 3, lines 25-60, col. 11, lines 22-67 to col. 67 to col. 13, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 11, Barnett teaches the correlation is based upon a clustering (col. 3, lines 25-60, col. 11, lines 22-67 to col. 67 to col. 13, lines 1-55).

With respect to claims 13-15, Barnett teaches image data, audio data and text files (col. 3, lines 25-60, col. 11, lines 22-67 to col. 67 to col. 13, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 16, Barnett teaches calendar data (col. 3, lines 25-60, col. 11, lines 22-67 to col. 67 to col. 13, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 17, Barnett teaches determining a probability that the function of time indicates that the media files have an association with the selected records (fig. 14, fig. 6, fig. 7A, fig. 10, col. 9, lines 48-67).

With respect to claim 18, Barnett teaches correlating the select media files with the selected records in time and space (fig. 6, fig. 7A, fig. 10, col. 9, lines 48-67).

With respect to claim 19, Barnett teaches the correlation in space is a function of a geographic location data associated with the selected media files and geographic

Art Unit: 2166

location data associated with the selected records (fig. 6, fig. 7A, fig. 10, col. 9, lines 48-67).

With respect to claim 20, Barnett teaches the method is provided as a network service over a network connection (col. 5, lines 20-67 to col. 6, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 21, Barnett teaches computer-readable medium bearing computer-readable instructions (col. 5, lines 20-67 to col. 6, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 23, Barnett teaches reading data from one of the first data store and the second data store over a network (col. 5, lines 20-67 to col. 6, lines 1-55).

With respect to claims 24-26, Barnett teaches image data, audio data and text files (col. 5, lines 20-67 to col. 6, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 27, Barnett teaches calendar data (fig. 13, col. 15, lines 4-67).

With respect to claim 28, Barnett teaches determining a probability that the function of time indicates that the media files have an association with the selected records (fig. 13, col. 15, lines 4-67).

With respect to claim 29, Barnett teaches correlating the select media files with the selected records in time and space (fig. 13, col. 15, lines 4-67).

With respect to claim 30, Barnett teaches the correlation in space is a function of a geographic location data associated with the selected media files and geographic location data associated with the selected records (fig. 13, col. 15, lines 4-67).

With respect to claim 31, Barnett teaches the method is provided as a network service over a network connection (col. 5, lines 20-67 to col. 6, lines 1-55).

With respect to claim 32, Barnett teaches data from a first application and the second data store comprises data from a second data store (col. 5, lines 20-67 to col. 6, lines 1-55).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ISAAC M. WOO whose telephone number is (571)272-4043. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Isaac M. Woo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2166

